

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,467	08/29/2001	Sterling Mortensen	10004428-1	6476
7590 09/21/2005			EXAMINER '	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			ZHONG, CHAD	
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2152	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		CH					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/941,467	MORTENSEN, STERLING					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chad Zhong	2152					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	August 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u></u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.						
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer, note the attache	ed Office Action of form F10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in a	Application No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/941,467 Page 1

Art Unit: 2152

## OFFICE ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(c) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/08/2005 has been entered.

Claims 1-30 are presented for examination in RCE filed on 08/08/2005:

Claims 1-30 are previously presented.

- 2. It is noted that although the present application does contain line numbers in specification and claims, the line numbers in the claims do not correspond to the preferred format. The preferred format is to number each line of every claim, with each claim beginning with line 1. For ease of reference by both the Examiner and Applicant all future correspondence should include the recommended line numbering.
- 3. Applicant is required to update the status (pending, allowed, etc.) of all parent priority applications in the first line of the specification. The status of all citations of US filed applications in the specification should also be updated where appropriate.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless:

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371 (c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Santamaki et al. (hereinafter Santamaki). US 6.886,036.
- 6. As per claim 1, Santamaki teaches a method of distributing an electronic document to a mobile

computing device including a display (Col. 4. lines 55-61), the method comprising the steps of:

translating a data file of the electronic document into a translated data file for the electronic document, including identifying a print format of the electronic document (Col. 5, line 55 - Col. 6, line 12);

displaying the electronic document on the display of the mobile computing device, including converting the translated data file for the electronic document into display instructions for the electronic document and displaying the electronic document based on the display instructions in accordance with the print format (Col. 5. line 55 - Col. 6. line 12; Col. 13. line 64 - Col. 14. line 3; Col. 12. lines 57-63).

- 7. As per claim 2, Santamaki teaches the method of claim 1, wherein identifying the print format of the electronic document includes identifying at least one of a page margin. a page layout, a paper orientation, and a paper size for the electronic document (Col. 6. lines 1-7).
- 8. As per claim 3. Santamaki teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of translating the data file includes translating the data file of the electronic document into an exchange file format (Col. 5. lines 53-63).
- 9. As per claim 4. Santamaki teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of translating the data file includes translating the data file of the electronic document into the translated data file for tile electronic document via a printer driver (Col. 5. lines 55-67; Col. 7. lines 55-67).
- 10. As per claim 5, Santamaki teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the step of translating the data file includes translating the data file of the electronic document at a computer including the printer driver and having tile data file of the electronic document stored therein (Col. 5. lines 55-67; Col. 7. lines 55-67; Col. 8, lines 25-30).
- 11. As per claim 6, Santamaki teaches the method of claim 5, wherein the step of transferring the translated data file includes transferring the translated data file for the electronic document to the mobile computing device from the computer (Col. 7. lines 60-67).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/941,467

Art Unit: 2152

- 12. As per claim 7. Santamaki teaches the method of claim 6, further comprising the step of linking the mobile computing device and the computer via a communication link (Fig 1, item 40; Col. 4. lines 49-51). wherein the step of transferring the translated data file includes transferring the translated data file for the electronic document to the mobile computing device from the computer via the communication link (Col. 6. lines 10-15; Col. 5, lines 10-15).
- 13. As per claim 8, Santainaki teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of translating the data file includes translating the data file of the electronic document into print instructions (Col. 6. lines 1-6) for the electronic document and converting the print instructions into the translated data file for the electronic document (Col. 5. lines 55-67: Col. 8, lines 20-25).
- 14. As per claims 9-10, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claims 4-5 above respectively.
- 15. As per claim 11, Santamaki teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising the step of: transferring the print instruction for the electronic document to a printer (Col. 5, lines 5-15, lines 25-30), wherein converting the print instructions into a translated data file includes converting the print instructions into the translated data file for the electronic document at the printer (Col. 5, line 55 Col. 6. line 15).
- 16. As per claim 12. Santamaki teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the step of transferring the translated data file includes transferring the translated data file for the; electronic document to mobile computing device front the printer (Col. 5. lines 10-15: Col. 6. lines 5-15).
- 17. As per claim 13. Santainaki teaches the method of claim 12, further comprising the step of: linking the mobile computing device (Fig 1, item 50) and the printer (Fig 1, item 30) via a communication link (Col. 4, lines 45-55; Fig 1, item 40), wherein the step of transferring the translated data file includes transferring the translated data file for the electronic document to the mobile completing device from the printer via the communication link (Col. 5. lines 10-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/941,467 Page 4

Art Unit: 2152

18. As per claim 14. Santamaki teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of: identifying an address of the mobile computing device, wherein the step of transferring the translated data file includes transferring the translated data file for the electronic document to the address of the mobile computing device (Col. 15, lines 1-14; Col. 6, lines 10-15).

- As per claim 15. Santamaki teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of transferring the translated data file includes transferring the translated data file for the electronic document to the mobile computing device via a computer (computer 10, Fig 1) associated with the mobile computing device (Col. 5, lines 10-15).
- As per claim 16. Santamaki teaches the method of claim 15, wherein the step of transferring the translated data file includes transferring the translated data file for the electronic document to the computer (computer 10, Fig 1), and further comprising the step of synchronizing the mobile computing device with the computer (computer 10, Fig 1), including transferring the translated data file for the electronic document to the mobile computing device from the computer (Col. 5, lines 10-15. lines 55-67).
- 21. As per claim 17-18, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 1 above.
- 22. As per claim 19-20, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 2-3 above respectively.
- 23. As per claim 21, claim 21 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 4 above.
- 24. As per claim 22, Santamaki teaches the system of claim 18, wherein the processor is part of a computer (Col. 3, lines 10-15).
- 25. As per claim 23, claims 23 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 7 above.
- 26. As per claims 24-25, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claims 8, 4 above respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,467 Page 5

Art Unit: 2152

27. As per claim 26. Santamaki teaches the system of claim 24, wherein the first processor is part of a computer (Col. 5. lines 20-27) and the second processor is part of a printer (Col. 5. lines 55-60).

- As per claim 27. Santamaki teaches wherein the communication link is configured to link the computer (Fig 1, item 10), the printer (Fig 1, item 30; Col. 5, lines 25-30), and the mobile computing device (Fig 1, item 50), and wherein the computer is adapted to transfer the print instructions for the electronic document to the printer via the communication link (Col. 5, lines 55-67) and the printer is adapted to transfer the translated data file for the electronic document to the mobile computing device via the communication link (Col. 8. lines 24-30).
- As per claims 28-29, claims 28-29 are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claims 14 and 15 above respectively.
- 30. As per claim 30, claims 30 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 16 above.

## Conclusion

- 31. Applicant's remarks filed 08/08/2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection necessitated by Applicant's amendment.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

  The following patents and publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to

  "DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION TO MOBILE COMPUTING DEVICE".

i.	US 2002/0184342	Kennedy et al.
ii.	US 5109487	Ohgomori et al.
iii.	US 6442595	Kellv
iv.	US 2003/0035126	Stone et al.
v.	US 2002/0063877	Lucivero et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chad Zhong whose telephone number is (571)272-3946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 to 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,467

Art Unit: 2152

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BURGESS, GLENTON B can be reached on (571)272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either
Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR
only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on
access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CZ

September 6, 2005

N. El Hady